Lecture 5

Biot-Savart law, Conductive Media Interface, Instantaneous Poynting's Theorem

5.1 Derivation of Biot-Savart Law

Figure 5.1: A current element used to illustrate the derivation of Biot-Savart law. The current element generates a magnetic field due to Ampere's law in the static limit.

Biot-Savart law, like Ampere's law was experimentally determined in around 1820 and it is discussed in a number of textbooks [29, 31, 42]. This is the cumulative work of Ampere, Oersted, Biot, and Savart. Nowadays, we have the mathematical tool to derive this law from Ampere's law and Gauss's law for magnetostatics.

From Gauss' law and Ampere's law in the static limit, we have derived that

$$
\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \iiint_{V} \frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}')}{R} dV'
$$
 (5.1.1)

When the current element is small, and is carried by a wire of cross sectional area Δa as shown in Figure 5.1, we can approximate the integrand as

$$
\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}')dV' \approx \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}')\Delta V' = \underbrace{(\Delta a)\Delta l}_{\Delta V}\underbrace{\hat{l}I/\Delta a}_{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}')} \tag{5.1.2}
$$

In the above, $\Delta V = (\Delta a)\Delta l$ and $\hat{l}I/\Delta a = \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}')$ since **J** has the unit of amperes/m². Here, \hat{l} is a unit vector pointing in the direction of the current flow. Hence, we can let the current element

$$
\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}')dV' \approx I\Delta \mathbf{l} \tag{5.1.3}
$$

where the vector $\Delta \mathbf{l} = \Delta l \hat{l}$. Therefore, the incremental vector potential due to an incremental current element is

$$
\Delta \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \approx \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \left(\frac{\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}') \Delta V'}{R} \right) = \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \frac{I \Delta \mathbf{l}'}{R}
$$
(5.1.4)

where $R = |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$. Since $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$, we derive that the incremental **B** flux is

$$
\Delta \mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \Delta \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \cong \frac{\mu I}{4\pi} \nabla \times \frac{\Delta \mathbf{l}'}{R} = \frac{-\mu I}{4\pi} \Delta \mathbf{l}' \times \nabla \frac{1}{R}
$$
(5.1.5)

where we have made use of the fact that $\nabla \times \mathbf{a} f(\mathbf{r}) = -\mathbf{a} \times \nabla f(\mathbf{r})$ when \mathbf{a} is a constant vector. The above can be simplified further making use of the fact that

$$
\nabla \frac{1}{R} = -\frac{1}{R^2} \hat{R}
$$
\n
$$
\tag{5.1.6}
$$

where \hat{R} is a unit vector pointing in the $\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'$ direction. We have also made use of the fact that $R = \sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 + (z-z')^2}$. Consequently, assuming that the incremental length becomes very small, or $\Delta l \rightarrow d\mathbf{l}$, we have, after using (5.1.6) in (5.1.5), that

$$
\mathbf{dB} = \frac{\mu I}{4\pi} \mathbf{dl}' \times \frac{1}{R^2} \hat{R}
$$
 (5.1.7)

$$
=\frac{\mu I \mathbf{d} \mathbf{l}' \times \hat{R}}{4\pi R^2} \tag{5.1.8}
$$

Since $\mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H}$, we have

$$
\mathbf{d}\mathbf{H} = \frac{I\mathbf{d}I' \times \hat{R}}{4\pi R^2}
$$
 (5.1.9)

or

$$
\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{I(\mathbf{r}')\mathbf{d}l' \times \hat{R}}{4\pi R^2}
$$
(5.1.10)

which is Biot-Savart law

5.2 Boundary Conditions–Conductive Media Case

Figure 5.2: A schematic for deriving the boundary condition for the current density J at the interface of two conductive media.

From the current continuity equation, one gets

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = -\frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial t} \tag{5.2.1}
$$

If the right-hand side is everywhere finite, it will not induce a jump discontinuity in the current. Moreover, it is zero for static limit. Hence, just like the Gauss's law case, the above implies that the normal component of the current J_n is continuous, or that $J_{1n} = J_{2n}$ in the static limit. In other words,

$$
\hat{n} \cdot (\mathbf{J}_2 - \mathbf{J}_1) = 0 \tag{5.2.2}
$$

Hence, using $\mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E}$, we have

$$
\sigma_2 E_{2n} - \sigma_1 E_{1n} = 0 \tag{5.2.3}
$$

The above has to be always true in the static limit irrespective of the values of σ_1 and σ_2 . But Gauss's law implies the boundary condition that

$$
\varepsilon_2 E_{2n} - \varepsilon_1 E_{1n} = \varrho_s \tag{5.2.4}
$$

The above equation is incompatible with (5.2.3) unless $\varrho_s \neq 0$. Hence, surface charge density or charge accumulation is necessary at the interface, unless $\sigma_2/\sigma_1 = \varepsilon_2/\varepsilon_1$.

5.2.1 Electric Field Inside a Conductor

The electric field inside a perfect electric conductor (PEC) has to be zero. If medium 1 is a perfect electric conductor, then $\sigma \to \infty$ but $J_1 = \sigma E_1$. An infinitesimal E_1 will give rise to an infinite current J_1 . To avoid this ludicrous situation, thus $E_1 = 0$. This implies that D_1 $= 0$ as well.

Figure 5.3: The behavior of the electric field and electric flux at the interface of a perfect electric conductor and free space.

Since tangential E is continuous, from Faraday's law, it is still true that

$$
E_{2t} = E_{1t} = 0 \tag{5.2.5}
$$

But since

$$
\hat{n} \cdot (\mathbf{D}_2 - \mathbf{D}_1) = \varrho_s \tag{5.2.6}
$$

and that $\mathbf{D}_1 = 0$, then

$$
\hat{n} \cdot \mathbf{D}_2 = \varrho_s \tag{5.2.7}
$$

So surface charge density has to be nonzero at a PEC/air interface for instance. Moreover, normal $D_2 \neq 0$, tangential $E_2 = 0$. The sketch of the electric field in the vicinity of a perfect conducting surface is shown in Figure 5.3.

The above argument for zero electric field inside a perfect conductor is true for electrodynamic problems. However, one does not need the above argument regarding the shielding of the static electric field from a conducting region. In the situation of the two conducting objects example below, as long as the electric fields are non-zero in the objects, currents will keep flowing. They flow until the charges in the two objects orient themselves so that electric current cannot flow anymore. This happens when the charges produce internal fields that cancel each other giving rise to zero field inside the two objects. Faraday's law still applies

which means that tangental E field has to be continuous. Therefore, the boundary condition that the fields have to be normal to the conducting object surface is still true for elecrostatics. A sketch of the electric field between two conducting spheres is show in Figure 5.4.

Figure 5.4: The behavior of the electric field and flux outside two conductors in the static limit. The two conductors need not be PEC and yet, the fields are normal to the interface.

5.2.2 Magnetic Field Inside a Conductor

We have seen that for a finite conductor, as long as $\sigma \neq 0$, the charges will re-orient themselves until the electric field is expelled from the conductor; otherwise, the current will keep flowing. But there are no magnetic charges nor magnetic conductors in this world. So this physical phenomenon does not happen for magnetic field: in other words, magnetic field cannot be expelled from an electric conductor. However, a magnetic field is expelled from a perfect conductor or a superconductor. You can only fully understand this physical phenomenon if we study the time-varying form Maxwell's equations.

In a perfect conductor where $\sigma \to \infty$, it is unstable for the magnetic field **B** to be nonzero. As time varying magnetic field gives rise to an electric field by the time-varying form of Faraday's law, a small time variation of the B field will give rise to infinite current flow in a perfect conductor. Therefore to avoid this ludicrous situation, and to be stable, $\mathbf{B} = 0$ in a perfect conductor or a superconductor.

So if medium 1 is a perfect electric conductor (PEC), then $B_1 = H_1 = 0$. The boundary conditions from Ampere's law and Gauss' law for magnetic flux give rise to

$$
\hat{n} \times \mathbf{H}_2 = \mathbf{J}_s \tag{5.2.8}
$$

which is the jump condition for the magnetic field. The magnetic flux \bf{B} is expelled from the perfect conductor, and there is no normal component of the B field as there cannot be magnetic charges. Therefore, the boundary condition becomes, for a PEC,

$$
\hat{n} \cdot \mathbf{B}_2 = 0 \tag{5.2.9}
$$

The B field in the vicinity of a conductor surface is as shown in Figure 5.5.

Figure 5.5:

When a superconductor cube is placed next to a static magnetic field near a permanent magnet, eddy current will be induced on the superconductor. The eddy current will expel the static magnetic field from the permanent magnet, or it will produce a magnetic dipole on the superconducting cube that repels the static magnetic field. This causes the superconducting cube to levitate on the static magnetic field as shown in Figure 5.6.

Figure 5.6: Levitation of a superconducting disk on top of a static magnetic field due to expulsion of the magnetic field from the superconductor.. This is also known as the Meissner effect (figure courtesy of Wikimedia).

5.3 Instantaneous Poynting's Theorem

Before we proceed further with studying energy and power, it is habitual to add fictitious magnetic current **M** and fictitious magnetic charge ϱ_m to Maxwell's equations to make them mathematically symmetrical. To this end, we have

$$
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{M}
$$
 (5.3.1)

$$
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{J}
$$
 (5.3.2)

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \varrho \tag{5.3.3}
$$

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \varrho_m \tag{5.3.4}
$$

Consider the first two of Maxwell's equations where fictitious magnetic current is included and that the medium is isotropic such that $\mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E}$. Next, we need to consider only the first two equations since in electrodynamics, by invoking charge conservation, the third and the fourth equations are derivable from the first two. They are

$$
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{M}_i = -\mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{M}_i
$$
 (5.3.5)

$$
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{J} = \varepsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{J}_i + \sigma \mathbf{E}
$$
 (5.3.6)

where M_i and J_i are impressed current sources. They are sources that are impressed into the system, and they cannot be changed by their interaction with the environment.

Also, for a conductive medium, a conduction current or induced current flows in addition to impressed current. Here, $\mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E}$ is the induced current source. Moreover, $\mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E}$ is similar to ohm's law. We can show from $(5.3.5)$ and $(5.3.6)$ that

$$
\mathbf{H} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\mu \mathbf{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} - \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{M}_i
$$
 (5.3.7)

$$
\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}_i + \sigma \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E}
$$
 (5.3.8)

Using the identity, which is the same as the product rule for derivatives, we have¹

$$
\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{H} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) - \mathbf{E} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}) \tag{5.3.9}
$$

Therefore, from (5.3.7), (5.3.8), and (5.3.9) we have

$$
\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) = -\left(\mu \mathbf{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \sigma \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{M}_i + \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}_i\right)
$$
(5.3.10)

The physical meaning of the above is more lucid if we first consider $\sigma = 0$, and $\mathbf{M}_i =$ $J_i = 0$, or the absence of conductive loss and the impressed current sources. Then the above becomes

$$
\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) = -\left(\mu \mathbf{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} + \varepsilon \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}\right)
$$
(5.3.11)

¹The identity that $\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b} \cdot (\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{a})$ is useful for the derivation.

Rewriting each term on the right-hand side of the above, we have

$$
\mu \mathbf{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu |\mathbf{H}|^2 \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_m \tag{5.3.12}
$$

$$
\varepsilon \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |\mathbf{E}|^2 \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_e
$$
 (5.3.13)

Then (5.3.11) becomes

$$
\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(W_m + W_e \right) \tag{5.3.14}
$$

where

$$
W_m = \frac{1}{2}\mu|\mathbf{H}|^2, \qquad W_e = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon|\mathbf{E}|^2 \tag{5.3.15}
$$

Equation (5.3.14) is reminiscent of the current continuity equation, namely,

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = -\frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial t} \tag{5.3.16}
$$

which is a statement of charge conservation. In other words, time variation of current density at a point is due to charge density flow into or out of the point.

Hence, $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}$ has the meaning of power density, and W_m and W_e are the energy density stored in the magnetic field and electric field, respectively. In fact, one can show that $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}$ has the unit of V m⁻¹ times A m⁻¹ which is W m⁻², where V is volt, A is ampere, and W is watt, which is joule s^{-1} . Hence, it has the unit of power density.

Similarly, $W_m = \frac{1}{2}\mu |\mathbf{H}|^2$ where μ has unit of H m⁻¹. Hence, W_m has the unit of H m⁻¹ times A^2 m⁻² = J m⁻³, where H is henry, A is ampere, and J is joule. Therefore, it has the unit of energy density. We can also ascertain the unit of $\frac{1}{2}\mu|\mathbf{H}|^2$ easily by noticing that the energy stored in an inductor is $\frac{1}{2}LI^2$ which is in terms of joules, and is due to henry times A^2 .

Also $W_e = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |\mathbf{E}|^2$ where ε has the unit of F m⁻¹. Hence, W_e has the unit of F m⁻¹ times V^2 m⁻² = J m⁻³ where F is farad, V is voltage, and J is joule, which is energy density again. We can also ascertain the unit of $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon |\mathbf{E}|^2$ easily by noticing that the energy stored in a capacitor is $\frac{1}{2}CV^2$ which has the unit of joules, and is due to farad times V^2 .

The vector quantity

$$
\mathbf{S}_p = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H} \tag{5.3.17}
$$

is called the Poynting's vector, and (5.3.14) becomes

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}_p = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_t \tag{5.3.18}
$$

where $W_t = W_e + W_m$ is the total energy density stored. The above is similar to the current continuity equation mentioned above. Analogous to that current density is charge density flow, power density is energy density flow.

Now, if we let $\sigma \neq 0$, then the term to be included is then $\sigma \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} = \sigma |\mathbf{E}|^2$ which has the unit of S m⁻¹ times V^2 m⁻², or W m⁻³ where S is siemens. We gather this unit by noticing that $\frac{1}{2} \frac{V^2}{R}$ $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{R}$ is the power dissipated in a resistor of R ohms with a unit of watts. The reciprocal unit of ohms, which used to be mhos is now siemens. With $\sigma \neq 0$, (5.3.18) becomes

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}_p = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_t - \sigma |\mathbf{E}|^2 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_e - P_d \tag{5.3.19}
$$

Here, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}_p$ has physical meaning of power density oozing out from a point, and $-P_d = -\sigma |\mathbf{E}|^2$ has the physical meaning of power density dissipated (siphoned) at a point by the conductive loss in the medium which is proportional to $-\sigma |\mathbf{E}|^2$.

Now if we set J_i and M_i to be nonzero, (5.3.19) is augmented by the last two terms in $(5.3.10)$, or

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}_p = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_t - P_d - \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{M}_i - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}_i
$$
 (5.3.20)

The last two terms can be interpreted as the power density supplied by the impressed currents \mathbf{M}_i and \mathbf{J}_i . Hence, (5.3.20) becomes

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}_p = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} W_t - P_d + P_s \tag{5.3.21}
$$

where

$$
P_s = -\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{M}_i - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}_i \tag{5.3.22}
$$

where P_s is the power supplied by the impressed current sources. These terms are positive if **H** and M_i have opposite signs, or if **E** and J_i have opposite signs. The last terms reminds us of what happens in a negative resistance device or a battery.² In a battery, positive charges move from a region of lower potential to a region of higher potential (see Figure 5.7). The positive charges move from one end of a battery to the other end of the battery. Hence, they are doing an "uphill climb" due to chemical processes within the battery.

²A negative resistance has been made by Leo Esaki [44], winning him a share in the Nobel prize.

Figure 5.7: Figure showing the dissipation of energy as the current flows around a loop. A battery can be viewed as having negative resistance.

In the above, one can easily work out that P_s has the unit of W m⁻³ which is power supplied density. One can also choose to rewrite (5.3.21) in integral form by integrating it over a volume V and invoking the divergence theorem yielding

$$
\int_{S} d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{p} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{V} W_{t} dV - \int_{V} P_{d} dV + \int_{V} P_{s} dV
$$
\n(5.3.23)

The left-hand side is

$$
\int_{S} d\mathbf{S} \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) \tag{5.3.24}
$$

which represents the power flowing out of the surface S.

Bibliography

- [1] J. A. Kong, "Theory of electromagnetic waves," New York, Wiley-Interscience, 1975. 348 p., 1975.
- [2] A. Einstein et al., "On the electrodynamics of moving bodies," Annalen der Physik, vol. 17, no. 891, p. 50, 1905.
- [3] P. A. M. Dirac, "The quantum theory of the emission and absorption of radiation," Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character, vol. 114, no. 767, pp. 243–265, 1927.
- [4] R. J. Glauber, "Coherent and incoherent states of the radiation field," Physical Review, vol. 131, no. 6, p. 2766, 1963.
- [5] C.-N. Yang and R. L. Mills, "Conservation of isotopic spin and isotopic gauge invariance," Physical review, vol. 96, no. 1, p. 191, 1954.
- [6] G. t'Hooft, 50 years of Yang-Mills theory. World Scientific, 2005.
- [7] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, Gravitation. Princeton University Press, 2017.
- [8] F. Teixeira and W. C. Chew, "Differential forms, metrics, and the reflectionless absorption of electromagnetic waves," Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 665–686, 1999.
- [9] W. C. Chew, E. Michielssen, J.-M. Jin, and J. Song, Fast and efficient algorithms in computational electromagnetics. Artech House, Inc., 2001.
- [10] A. Volta, "On the electricity excited by the mere contact of conducting substances of different kinds. in a letter from Mr. Alexander Volta, FRS Professor of Natural Philosophy in the University of Pavia, to the Rt. Hon. Sir Joseph Banks, Bart. KBPR S," Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London, no. 90, pp. 403–431, 1800.
- [11] A.-M. Ampère, Exposé méthodique des phénomènes électro-dynamiques, et des lois de ces phénomènes. Bachelier, 1823.
- $[12]$ ——, Mémoire sur la théorie mathématique des phénomènes électro-dynamiques uniquement déduite de l'expérience: dans lequel se trouvent réunis les Mémoires que M. Ampère a communiqués à l'Académie royale des Sciences, dans les séances des $\frac{1}{4}$ et 26 décembre 1820, 10 juin 1822, 22 décembre 1823, 12 septembre et 21 novembre 1825. Bachelier, 1825.
- [13] B. Jones and M. Faraday, The life and letters of Faraday. Cambridge University Press, 2010, vol. 2.
- [14] G. Kirchhoff, "Ueber die auflösung der gleichungen, auf welche man bei der untersuchung der linearen vertheilung galvanischer ströme geführt wird," Annalen der Physik, vol. 148, no. 12, pp. 497–508, 1847.
- [15] L. Weinberg, "Kirchhoff's' third and fourth laws'," IRE Transactions on Circuit Theory, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 8–30, 1958.
- [16] T. Standage, The Victorian Internet: The remarkable story of the telegraph and the nineteenth century's online pioneers. Phoenix, 1998.
- [17] J. C. Maxwell, "A dynamical theory of the electromagnetic field," Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London, no. 155, pp. 459–512, 1865.
- [18] H. Hertz, "On the finite velocity of propagation of electromagnetic actions," Electric Waves, vol. 110, 1888.
- [19] M. Romer and I. B. Cohen, "Roemer and the first determination of the velocity of light (1676)," Isis, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 327–379, 1940.
- [20] A. Arons and M. Peppard, "Einstein's proposal of the photon concept–a translation of the Annalen der Physik paper of 1905," American Journal of Physics, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 367–374, 1965.
- [21] A. Pais, "Einstein and the quantum theory," Reviews of Modern Physics, vol. 51, no. 4, p. 863, 1979.
- [22] M. Planck, "On the law of distribution of energy in the normal spectrum," Annalen der physik, vol. 4, no. 553, p. 1, 1901.
- [23] Z. Peng, S. De Graaf, J. Tsai, and O. Astafiev, "Tuneable on-demand single-photon source in the microwave range," Nature communications, vol. 7, p. 12588, 2016.
- [24] B. D. Gates, Q. Xu, M. Stewart, D. Ryan, C. G. Willson, and G. M. Whitesides, "New approaches to nanofabrication: molding, printing, and other techniques," Chemical reviews, vol. 105, no. 4, pp. 1171–1196, 2005.
- [25] J. S. Bell, "The debate on the significance of his contributions to the foundations of quantum mechanics, Bells Theorem and the Foundations of Modern Physics (A. van der Merwe, F. Selleri, and G. Tarozzi, eds.)," 1992.
- [26] D. J. Griffiths and D. F. Schroeter, Introduction to quantum mechanics. Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [27] C. Pickover, Archimedes to Hawking: Laws of science and the great minds behind them. Oxford University Press, 2008.
- [28] R. Resnick, J. Walker, and D. Halliday, Fundamentals of physics. John Wiley, 1988.
- [29] S. Ramo, J. R. Whinnery, and T. Duzer van, Fields and waves in communication electronics, Third Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1995.
- [30] J. L. De Lagrange, "Recherches d'arithm´etique," Nouveaux M´emoires de l'Acad´emie de Berlin, 1773.
- [31] J. A. Kong, *Electromagnetic Wave Theory*. EMW Publishing, 2008.
- [32] H. M. Schey and H. M. Schey, Div, grad, curl, and all that: an informal text on vector calculus. WW Norton New York, 2005.
- [33] R. P. Feynman, R. B. Leighton, and M. Sands, *The Feynman lectures on physics, Vol.* I: The new millennium edition: mainly mechanics, radiation, and heat. Basic books, 2011, vol. 1.
- [34] W. C. Chew, *Waves and fields in inhomogeneous media*. IEEE press, 1995.
- [35] V. J. Katz, "The history of Stokes' theorem," Mathematics Magazine, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 146–156, 1979.
- [36] W. K. Panofsky and M. Phillips, *Classical electricity and magnetism*. Courier Corporation, 2005.
- [37] T. Lancaster and S. J. Blundell, Quantum field theory for the gifted amateur. OUP Oxford, 2014.
- [38] W. C. Chew, "Ece 350x lecture notes," http://wcchew.ece.illinois.edu/chew/ece350.html, 1990.
- [39] C. M. Bender and S. A. Orszag, Advanced mathematical methods for scientists and engineers I: Asymptotic methods and perturbation theory. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [40] J. M. Crowley, Fundamentals of applied electrostatics. Krieger Publishing Company, 1986.
- [41] C. Balanis, Advanced Engineering Electromagnetics. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 2012.
- [42] J. D. Jackson, Classical electrodynamics. AAPT, 1999.
- [43] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, Methods of Mathematical Physics: Partial Differential Equations. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- [44] L. Esaki and R. Tsu, "Superlattice and negative differential conductivity in semiconductors," IBM Journal of Research and Development, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 61–65, 1970.
- [45] E. Kudeki and D. C. Munson, Analog Signals and Systems. Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: Pearson Prentice Hall, 2009.
- [46] A. V. Oppenheim and R. W. Schafer, Discrete-time signal processing. Pearson Education, 2014.
- [47] R. F. Harrington, Time-harmonic electromagnetic fields. McGraw-Hill, 1961.
- [48] E. C. Jordan and K. G. Balmain, Electromagnetic waves and radiating systems. Prentice-Hall, 1968.
- [49] G. Agarwal, D. Pattanayak, and E. Wolf, "Electromagnetic fields in spatially dispersive media," Physical Review B, vol. 10, no. 4, p. 1447, 1974.
- [50] S. L. Chuang, Physics of photonic devices. John Wiley & Sons, 2012, vol. 80.
- [51] B. E. Saleh and M. C. Teich, Fundamentals of photonics. John Wiley & Sons, 2019.
- [52] M. Born and E. Wolf, Principles of optics: electromagnetic theory of propagation, interference and diffraction of light. Elsevier, 2013.
- [53] R. W. Boyd, Nonlinear optics. Elsevier, 2003.
- [54] Y.-R. Shen, "The principles of nonlinear optics," New York, Wiley-Interscience, 1984, 575 p., 1984.
- [55] N. Bloembergen, Nonlinear optics. World Scientific, 1996.
- [56] P. C. Krause, O. Wasynczuk, and S. D. Sudhoff, Analysis of electric machinery. McGraw-Hill New York, 1986, vol. 564.
- [57] A. E. Fitzgerald, C. Kingsley, S. D. Umans, and B. James, Electric machinery. McGraw-Hill New York, 2003, vol. 5.
- [58] M. A. Brown and R. C. Semelka, MRI.: Basic Principles and Applications. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [59] C. A. Balanis, Advanced engineering electromagnetics. John Wiley & Sons, 1999.
- [60] Wikipedia, "Lorentz force," 2019.
- [61] R. O. Dendy, Plasma physics: an introductory course. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [62] P. Sen and W. C. Chew, "The frequency dependent dielectric and conductivity response of sedimentary rocks," Journal of microwave power, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 95–105, 1983.
- [63] D. A. Miller, Quantum Mechanics for Scientists and Engineers. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [64] W. C. Chew, "Quantum mechanics made simple: Lecture notes," http://wcchew.ece.illinois.edu/chew/course/QMAll20161206.pdf, 2016.
- [65] B. G. Streetman, S. Banerjee et al., Solid state electronic devices. Prentice hall Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1995, vol. 4.
- [66] Smithsonian, https://www.smithsonianmag.com/history/this-1600-year-old-gobletshows-that-the-romans-were-nanotechnology-pioneers-787224/, accessed: 2019-09-06.